organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jens Beckmann,*‡ Dainis Dakternieks, Andrew Duthie and Cassandra Mitchell

Centre for Chiral and Molecular Technologies, Deakin University, Geelong 3217, Victoria, Australia

Present address: Institut für Chemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Fabeckstraße 34–36, 14195 Berlin, Germany.

Correspondence e-mail: beckmann@chemie.fu-berlin.de

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 130 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.022 wR factor = 0.057 Data-to-parameter ratio = 21.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

An orthorhombic polymorph of dichlorobis[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]tellurium

Unlike the previously known monoclinic form, the orthorhombic polymorph of dichlorobis[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-tellurium, $C_{16}H_{20}Cl_2N_2Te$, shows secondary $Te\cdots Cl$ interactions.

Received 2 March 2005 Accepted 8 March 2005 Online 18 March 2005

Comment

The title compound, (I), crystallized from hexane-dichloromethane as yellow (monoclinic) crystals, in which individual molecules are associated via weak secondary Cl···Cl interactions. Unlike most other diorganotellurium dichlorides, no secondary Te···Cl interactions are present (Beckmann et al., 2005). We have now found that the same compound crystallizes from toluene, affording a mixture of the yellow and a new orange (orthorhombic) polymorph. The orange crystals reveal a secondary Te \cdots Cl contact of 3.729 (1) Å, but lack any Cl···Cl interactions. Both Cl atoms show Cl···H contacts shorter than 2.95 Å, the sum of the van der Waals radii $[Cl1 \cdots H17A^{i} = 2.853 \text{ Å and } Cl2 \cdots H3^{ii} = 2.826 \text{ Å}; symmetry}]$ codes: (i) $-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, -y, z$]. As in the yellow polymorph, there are a number of π - π stacking interactions between adjacent 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl groups, the most significant being that between neighbouring C14-N2 bonds [3.346 (1) Å, measured from the middle of this bond to the middle of C14ⁱⁱⁱ–N2ⁱⁱⁱ; symmetry code: (iii) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, y, 1 – z].



Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared according to the originally reported procedure of Morgan & Burgess (1929). Recrystallization from



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Figure 1

View of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.





View of (I) along the crystallographic *b* axis, showing the intermolecular Te···Cl and π - π stacking interactions as dashed lines.

toluene afforded a mixture of the previously known yellow modification (Beckmann *et al.*, 2005) and the new orange modification, both of which begin to decompose above 443 K and melt at 465–466 K.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu=2.00~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

T = 130 (2) K

Block, orange

 $\theta = 2.4 - 27.5^{\circ}$

Cell parameters from 5119

 $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm

Crystal data

 $C_{16}H_{20}Cl_2N_2Te$ $M_r = 438.84$ Orthorhombic, *Ibca* a = 16.0504 (10) Å b = 16.4302 (10) Å c = 26.5331 (16) Å $V = 6997.1 (7) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 16 $D_x = 1.666 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	4006 independent reflections
diffractometer	3693 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.017$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.491, \ T_{\max} = 0.549$	$k = -17 \rightarrow 21$
20 865 measured reflections	$l = -33 \rightarrow 33$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0239P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$	+ 11.1642 <i>P</i>]
$wR(F^2) = 0.057$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.11	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.004$
4006 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
190 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

C1—Te	2.090 (2)	Cl2-Te	2.5103 (5)
C11—Te	2.086 (2)	$Te \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1^{iv}$	3.7288 (5)
Cl1—Te	2.5364 (5)		
C11-Te-C1	99.63 (7)	Cl2-Te-Cl1	179.19 (2)
C11-Te-Cl2	90.33 (5)	$C11 - Te \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1^{iv}$	81.92 (5)
C1-Te-Cl2	89.44 (5)	$C1 - Te \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1^{iv}$	165.64 (5)
C11-Te-Cl1	90.05 (5)	Cl2-Te···Cl1 ^{iv}	104.86(1)
C1-Te-Cl1	89.78 (5)	$Cl1 - Te \cdots Cl1^{iv}$	75.91 (1)

Symmetry code: (iv) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z.

The H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model (primary C-H = 0.98 Å and aromatic C-H = 0.95 Å). The isotropic displacement parameters were constrained at 1.2 (for non-methyl) and 1.5 (for methyl) times U_{eq} of the carrier C atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Bergerhoff *et al.*, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

Associate Professor Jonathan White (The University of Melbourne) is gratefully acknowledged for the X-ray data collection.

References

Beckmann, J., Dakternieks, D., Duthie, A., Mitchell, C. & Schürmann, M. (2005). Aust. J. Chem. 58, 119–127.

Bergerhoff, G., Berndt, M. & Brandenburg, K. (1996). J. Res. Natl Inst. Stand. Technol. 101, 221–225.

Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Morgan, G. & Burgess, H. (1929). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 1103–1106.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.